SOUTH CAROLINA TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE BANK RESPONSE TO LAC RECOMMENDATIONS February 14, 2017

SOUTH CA	OUTH CAROLINA TRANSPORTATION INFRASTRUCTURE BANK RESPONSE TO LAC RECOMMENDATIONS February 14, 2017					
Number	LAC Recommendation	Agency Response	Agency Action Required	Implementation Timeline		
1	The South Carolina Transportation	Concur	The Bank will consider using a chart similar to Table	COMPLETE - Website		
	Infrastructure Bank should report on its		2.1 on page 6 of the LAC Report on its website. The	updated January 2017.		
	website a comprehensive list of grants,		Bank is considering contracting to upgrade current			
	loans, total commitments, and		website.			
	disbursements for all of its projects since					
	the agency's inception in 1997.					
2	The General Assembly should amend	Non-Concur	There is no analysis by the LAC of how or if this	Non-Concur		
	state law in one of the following ways: •		massive change could be done legally, financially, or			
	Discontinue South Carolina		practically. The Bank has been successfully issuing			
	Transportation Infrastructure Bank and		revenue bonds over a period of almost 20 years and			
	its Board of Directors and assign the mission of managing the entire state-		has established strong relationships with rating			
	level, highway and public transportation		agencies, investment banks, investors and bondholders. It has material contractual obligations			
	system to the South Carolina		to its bondholders that may not be impaired by the			
	Department of Transportation.		actions of the State and are protected by the			
	Make South Carolina Transportation		impairment of contract provisions in the United States			
	Infrastructure Bank a unit of South		and South Carolina Constitutions. SCDOT is a			
	Carolina Department of Transportation,		significant debtor of the Bank, and its payments to the			
	while maintaining the South Carolina		Bank are pledged to the payment of revenue bonds.			
	Transportation Infrastructure Bank		The Bank has the responsibility of enforcing those			
	Board in an advisory capacity.		payment obligations. Under SC Code Section 11-43-			
			210(A), the Bank may request that the State Treasurer			
			intercept State funds or funds administered by the			
			State allotted or appropriated to SCDOT if SCDOT fails			
			to make such a payment and apply those funds to			
			those bond obligations. This proposal is inconsistent			
			with Act 275 of 2016 and would disrupt the			
			implementation of the financial structure continued			
			and expanded upon by Act 275.			
	144	N 6		N 0		
3	,	Non-Concur	Any consideration of SCDOT's absorbing the Bank's	Non-Concur		
	recommendations, the General		debt would need to address, analyze and determine			
	Assembly should amend state law to		answers to a number of crucial questions a few of which are: (1) If the security features and obligations			
	increase the classes of bonds that may be issued to finance South Carolina		arising from the debtor-creditor relationship between			
	Department of Transportation's projects		the Bank and SCDOT are altered, will that create an			
	or amend the South Carolina		impairment of contract or give rise to litigation by			
	Constitution to increase South Carolina		bondholders or others? (2) How will this massive			
	Department of Transportation's debt		change affect the credit rating, value and liquidity of			
	service limit for highway bonds.		outstanding and future bond issues? (3) What will the			
			possible additional interest costs to the State be? (4)			
			What will be the effect on the State's ability to market			
			bonds? If the State issues general obligation debt to			
			refinance or replace the Bank's \$1.94 billion in			
			revenue bonds or to finance its transportation needs,			
			the State would be pledging the full faith and taxing			
			power of the State to pay those bonds while the			
			current sole source of such payment for the Bank's			
			revenue bonds is the non-state tax revenue sources			
			pledged by the Bank as authorized by the General			
			Assembly. That pledge does not put the State's taxing			
			power at risk.			

4	If the General Assembly does not discontinue the function of the South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank, it should implement the remaining recommendations in this report.	Non-Concur	Please see other comments in this response, 2 and 3 al	Non-Concur
5	The South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank should formally and publicly communicate the availability of funding.	Concur	The Bank is in the process of adopting policy changes that address this. Funds availability will be announced publicly.	COMPLETE - See Section 12 of Operating Guidelines
6	The South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank should adopt an annual timeline for publicly communicating updated criteria regarding the application process.	Concur	The Bank is in the process of adopting policy changes that address this. Application criteria and deadlines will be announced publicly.	COMPLETE - See Section 12 of Operating Guidelines
7	The South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank should create an annual deadline, that is consistent from year to year, for accepting applications when funding is available and establish a structured timeline for the review and award processes.	Concur	The Bank is in the process of adopting policy changes that address this. Application criteria and deadlines will be announced publicly.	COMPLETE - See Section 12 of Operating Guidelines
8	The South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank should require a new application for funding requests which differ from the initial application.	Concur	The Bank is in the process of adopting policy changes that address this comment for material changes to applications.	COMPLETE - See Sections 7 and 8 of Operating Guidelines
9	The South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank should promulgate, in regulation, its criteria and process for awarding financial assistance.	Non-Concur	The Bank submits that the comments concerning regulations are legally incorrect. There is no requirement in law that the Bank must adopt regulations. See, Stogsdill v. SCDHEC, 410 S.C. 273, 763 S.E.2d 638 (Ct. App. 2014). Further, the guidelines and policies the Bank follows and are developing are not required to be in the form of regulations because they are not of general public applicability and are not intended to have the force or effect of law. SC Code Section 1-23-10(4). Such an approach also would hamper the ability of the Bank to promptly respond to needed changes to increase the effectiveness of the Bank's review of applications, such as the recommendations in the LAC Report.	Non-Concur
10	The South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank should develop formal policies regarding its practice of awarding financial assistance.	Concur	The Bank in the process of adopting policy changes that address this. Application criteria and deadlines will be announced publicly.	COMPLETE - See Operating Guidelines
11	The South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank should adopt a formal scoring sheet for evaluating project applications.	Concur	Revised evaluation criteria and project scoring is under consideration.	Being reviewed by Evaluation Committee - See Section 12 C of Operating Guidelines
12	The South Carolina General Assembly should amend the South Carolina Code of Laws Section 11-43-130(6) by adding clarity as to what constitutes a "project" and what constitutes "major."	NA	, , ,	Matter for the General Assembly.

The General Assembly should amend state law to establish the minimum amount of matching funds applicants are required to contribute, for both	NA	Recommendation is wholly within the prerogative of the General Assembly. Section 6 of Act 275 of 2016 provides that minimum costs of a project must be \$25 million.	Matter for the General Assembly.
grants and loans, to be eligible and qualify for financial assistance.			
The South Carolina Infrastructure Bank should require an increased recipient match when additional funding is awarded due to cost overruns.	Non-Concur	Restricts Board's ability to be flexible in decision making where circumstances on a project justify such flexibility. Such decisions are reviewed by JBRC and now SCDOT. Revised Operating Guidelines require new application if additional funding exceeds 10%, or \$2.5 million, and Bank may require additional match.	COMPLETE
The General Assembly should amend state law to establish the acceptable types of financial and in-kind matches required of entities that receive financial assistance from the South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank.	NA	Recommendation is wholly within the prerogative of the General Assembly.	Matter for the General Assembly.
The South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank should consistently apply all its requirements to all applicants.	Concur	Bank's proposed policy changes are designed to promote consistency in the evaluation and selection process.	COMPLETE - See Operating Guidelines
The General Assembly should amend state law to require the South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank only projects that are included in the South Carolina Department of Transportation's priority list.	NA	Recommendation is wholly within the prerogative of the General Assembly. Section 7 of Act 275 of 2016 requires Bank to prioritize projects in accordance with criteria in SC Code Section 57-1-370 (B)(8).	Matter for the General Assembly.
The South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank should require applicants to demonstrate whether the benefits of the project would exceed the costs.	Concur	Addressed in the Bank's application documents and process whereby the applicant is required to supply a cost/benefit analysis.	
The South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank should develop a minimum rating to use in the evaluation process to determine which projects qualify for funding.	Concur	Revised evaluation criteria and project scoring are under further consideration, taking into account Act 275 of 2016. Minimum score for current application period posted on website.	COMPLETE -Being reviewed further by Evaluation Committee. Minimum score posted online.
The General Assembly should amend state law to clarify whether Act 98 funds are available to entities other than the South Carolina Department of Transportation.	NA	Act 98 of 2013, S.C. Code Section 11-43-165, is clear to SCDOT, the General Assembly and the Bank. The Act requires the Bank to select and fund certain types of defined projects from a list submitted to the Bank from SCDOT. Act 98 would need to be amended for Bank to consider non-SCDOT projects.	Matter for the General Assembly.
The General Assembly should amend state law to clarify the award criteria for Act 98 funds.	NA	See #20	Matter for the General Assembly.
The South Carolina Transportation Infrastructure Bank should develop a formal written process for evaluating Act 98 applications.	NA	See #20	Matter for the General Assembly.

insi sou rela 24 The S.C for me gift are	te S.C. State Infrastructure Bank should stitute a policy that requires the urce of payment for all travel and lated expenses be documented. The General Assembly should amend C. Section 8-13-710 to make it illegal or all state public officials, board embers, and employees to accept any its over a specific dollar amount that the a result of their holding state overnment positions.	NA	The Bank will develop a policy on travel reimbursement that is consistent with state regulations. Some LAC comments on thus point are inaccurate.  Recommendation is wholly within the prerogative of the General Assembly.	Matter for the General Assembly.
for Off sou rev cor 13, wh rev • T tha	e General Assembly should obtain a rmal opinion from the South Carolina fice of Attorney General on the urces of funds used to repay SCTIB venue bonds to ensure that it is mpliance with: • Article 10, Section 5, Subsection 9 of the S.C. Constitution, nich prohibits the use of taxes to repay venue bonds.  The legal principle which states and act at is forbidden to be done directly may be done indirectly.		debt service on its revenue bonds. <u>The comments on</u> that subject made in the Report are incorrect factually	position.
Infi sta inte	re South Carolina Transportation frastructure Bank should implement a andard process for the allocation of terest earnings on funds held by it for her entities.	Concur	The Bank will discuss development of a standard process with its Audit Firm and Financial Advisor.	COMPLETE
sta	e General Assembly should amend ate law to clarify whether the Act 92 of 115 funds are recurring.	NA	Provisio 117.135 of the 2016-17 Appropriations Act transfers the funds from the Bank to the South Carolina Department of Transportation. This comment is moot.	COMPLETE